Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/707,006	IKHLEF, ABDELAZIZ
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Allen C. Ho	2882
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeals of the communication appeals of the communication appeals of the communication appeals of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in the or other appropriate communic GHTS. This application is subsand MPEP 1308.	is application. If not included cation will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>RCE filed on 30 June</u>	<u>2006</u> .	
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-5 and 7-20.		
3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unexative and a labeled as such in the submicrostropy and a labeled as such in title.	been received. been received in Application Note that application. itted. Note the attached EXAMI es reason(s) why the oath or deat be submitted. on's Patent Drawing Review (see Amendment / Comment or in the leader according to 37 CFR 1	No In this national stage application from the stage application from the reply complying with the requirements INER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF eclaration is deficient. PTO-948) attached the Office action of drawings in the front (not the back) of 1.121(d).
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depose attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT I		
Attachment(s)		
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<u> </u>	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. 🔲 Interview Sum Paper No./Ma	mary (PTO-413), iil Date
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date		
4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. ⊠ Examiner's Sta	atement of Reasons for Allowance
		a de la companya de

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Thomas E. Donohue (Reg. No. 44,660) on 01 August 2006.

The application has been amended as follows:

- (1) Paragraph [0013] has been deleted.
- (2) Paragraph [0014], line 1, "7" has been replaced by --6--.
- 2. The following changes to the drawings have been approved by the examiner and agreed upon by applicant: reference number 54 has been added to the drawings. In order to avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must make these above agreed upon drawing changes.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-5 and 7-20 are allowed.
- 4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

With regard to claims 1-5 and 7-12, the prior art discloses a detector assembly that comprises a collimator assembly, the collimator assembly comprising: a first collimator segment having a first left end and a first right end, the first collimator segment comprising a plurality of x-ray blocking first segment longitudinal walls having a first segment depth, each of the plurality of first segment longitudinal walls including a first interlocking protrusion; a second collimator

Application/Control Number: 10/707,006

Art Unit: 2882

segment having a second left end and a second right end, the second collimator segment comprising a plurality of x-ray blocking second segment longitudinal walls having a second segment depth, each of the plurality of second segment longitudinal walls including a second interlocking protrusion, each of the second interlocking protrusions engaging one of the first interlocking protrusions to form a continuous sidewall segment; and a plurality of first latitudinal segments positioned between each of the plurality of first longitudinal walls such that a plurality of first collimator chambers is formed, each of the first collimator chambers having a first collimator width. However, the prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest a first interlocking protrusion that comprises less than an entire portion of the first segment depth, and a second interlocking protrusion that comprises less than an entire portion of the second segment depth, as claimed.

With regard to claims 13-18, the prior art discloses a collimator assembly segment that comprises a first collimator segment having a first left end and a first right end, the first collimator segment comprising: a plurality of x-ray blocking first segment longitudinal walls having a first segment depth, each of the plurality of first segment longitudinal walls including a first interlocking protrusion; and a plurality of first latitudinal segments positioned between each of the plurality of first longitudinal walls such that a plurality of first collimator chambers is formed, each of the first collimator chambers having a first collimator width. However, the prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest a first interlocking protrusion that comprises less than an entire portion of the first segment depth as claimed.

With regard to claims 19 and 20, the prior art discloses a method of manufacturing a detector assembly with extended longitudinal depth, the method comprises: casting a first

Art Unit: 2882

collimator segment comprising a plurality of first segment longitudinal walls having a first segment depth, each of the plurality of first segment longitudinal walls including a first interlocking protrusion; casting a second collimator segment comprising a plurality of second segment longitudinal walls having a second segment depth, each of the plurality of second segment longitudinal walls including a second interlocking protrusion; and engaging each of the second interlocking protrusions with one of the first interlocking protrusions to form a plurality of continuous sidewall segments. However, the prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest a first interlocking protrusion that comprises less than an entire portion of the first segment depth, and a second interlocking protrusion that comprises less than an entire portion of the second segment depth, as claimed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 05 June 2006 with respect to the specification have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objections of the specification have been withdrawn.

Art Unit: 2882

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Allen C. Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-2491. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Edward J. Glick can be reached on (571) 272-2490. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

allen C. Ho

Allen C. Ho, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2882

01 August 2006